



Answers to submitted questions for:
RFP- Geotechnical Engineering Services
San Mateo Co. Replacement Correctional Facility

April 11, 2012 | Jail Planning Unit | smcsheriff.com/jail-planning

1. **Q.** Have any previous geotechnical investigations been performed on the site? If so, are reports available?

A. An Initial Study (IS) now posted on the Jail Planning web page

2. **Q.** What contaminants have been identified at the site?

A. See the IS on the Jail Planning web page

3. **Q.** Are Phase 1 or Phase 2 environmental reports available?

A. Now available on the Jail Planning web page.

4. **Q.** Will the Geotechnical Engineer need to dispose of the drummed drilling spoils, or will the Sheriff's Department handle the disposal?

A. The Geotechnical Engineer will be responsible for disposal

5. **Q.** What are the approximate footprints of the proposed buildings?

A. No footprint being identified yet. Total approximated gross area is 257,112 sq. ft.

6. **Q.** Will Prevailing Wage be applied on this contract?

A. Yes, the Prevailing Wage requirement applies to this contract.

7. **Q.** Part 4 of the RFP states that the Project will adhere to CA Administrative Code Title 24, Minimum Standards for Local Adult Detention Facilities. Does this imply that the project will be built under the oversight of the Division of State Architect or OSHPD (agencies for school and Hospital buildings, and on occasion, prisons)? In such cases, Geotechnical reports need to address all items in Note 48 published by the California Geological Survey. Will this be the case?

A. This project does not fall under OSHPD requirements.

8. **Q.** Part 5 of the RFP mentions that “detailed services required” are attached in Attachment C1. We were unable to locate this attachment (we searched the website as well).?

A. Please see update at JPU Announcement webpage for C1 attachment.

9. **Q.** Would you be able to inform us of the area of the building footprint? We acknowledge that the site area is 4.85 acres

A. No footprint being identified yet. Total approximated gross area is 257,112 sq.ft.

10. **Q.** Consultation at end of Phase 1 is noted to be 30 hours. We presume that this includes supplemental documents and analysis. Do we cost out for only 30 hours (for comparison purposes)? Also, we presume we will actually be compensated for the amount of work incurred for this task – depending on requirements. Is this a correct presumption?

A. Yes. This is T&M not to exceed.

11. **Q.** Similar to question 4, for Phase 3, should we cost out hours required for 40 hours work (again for comparison purposes)? With the several items mentioned, multiple meetings are expected – and we presume that we would be paid for actual hours incurred. Is this correct?

A. Yes. This is T&M not to exceed.

12. **Q.** Exploration plan – is there flexibility allowed in the investigation program?
Depending on conditions, we hope to be able to alter the number and depth of borings/CPTs/testing.

A. Depends on the findings from Phase 1 report, number and depth to be reviewed and approved by Owner.

Q. The RFP is missing Attachment C1 “Sample County’s Professional Service Agreement”, as outlined under Item 6.05. Will you be able to provide me a copy?

A. Now on the jail planning web page .
